

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 2313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,686	10/15/2003	Mitch Fredrick Singer	113748-4838US	9159	
27189 PROCOPIO C	7590 08/07/200 ORY, HARGREAVES		EXAM	IINER	
530 B STREET			LANIER, BI	LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
SUITE 2100 SAN DIEGO.	CA 92101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2432		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2009	EI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@procopio.com PTONotifications@procopio.com

	BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2432					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>BENJAMIN E. LANIER</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Samuel Lee (Reg. No. 42,791)</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 03 August 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Novak</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.) was not reached. h) ⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Lee requested clarification on how Novak met the claimed "sub-copy version". Examiner explained how Novak was being utilized to meet the claim limitations. Mr. Lee sugaested that claim amendments would be made to address what was discussed. No particular claim language was discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Benjamin E Lanier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432							